

Communication from Public

Name: Toni O'Donnell

Date Submitted: 11/05/2020 10:33 AM

Council File No: 20-0680

Comments for Public Posting: Re:Case: CPC-2019-4908-DB-SPR-1A I would like to register my disagreement with approving this development as stated. No consideration has been made of the impact to the surrounding neighborhood in terms of burdening the infrastructure, adding congestion to traffic in the area and plopping a sterile unimaginative designed modern looking building, looking like the other new developments already passed through. I also have a concern about adding additional housing in our community when we already have over 400 vacancies currently. We don't need additional market rate housing, we need well planned, affordable housing. This development along with the sister project proposed just a few blocks away are a horrible intrusion into our community. No thought was put toward adapting to the infrastructure in place or highlighting what our community is about now and historically. I strongly urge that this project be denied or at a minimum, rethought if it must go through.

Communication from Public

Name: Mona Dallas Reddick and Bob Reddick

Date Submitted: 11/05/2020 01:24 AM

Council File No: 20-0680

Comments for Public Posting: Written in support of the appeal of the proposed project at 1309-1331 S. Pacific Avenue, San Pedro. The issue of cumulative impact has not been sufficiently examined. There has rightly been concern for the close proximity of the 1309-1331 Pacific Avenue project to its sister project in the 2100 block, but in fact, it's even closer to two projects under development on 9th Street, only four blocks away just south of Pacific. Together, the two 9th Street projects will create 147 units. One of them wipes out a sizable section of a city parking lot used by visitors to and staff of the Alice M. Baxter College Ready High School and by visitors to the historic YWCA, all in the 400 block of 9th Street. Greenlighting this outsize project of over 100 units at 1309-1331 treats Pacific Avenue as though it were Wilshire Boulevard and as though the small residential streets off Pacific had large lots with wide driveways and three-car garages. Just the opposite is true. These neighborhoods are already dense and squeezed, and many have only street parking. For the sake of these neighborhoods, the cumulative impact created by 1309-1331 Pacific and by the other 17 large developments recently built or about to descend on San Pedro must be taken into account. These developments, in total, will add over 3,500 units and 80 hotel rooms to a community burdened by high levels of pollution, underserved by Metro, and with few exit routes out of the area in the event of an industrial accident or natural emergency. We ask where is the adherence to the Community Plan that called for sensitive development, such as the protection of residential areas by transitioning the scale and massing of new buildings; good pedestrian and vehicular access, including parking; provision for retail, especially along the Pacific Avenue corridor; and preserving the character of San Pedro? Please take note of the completed, proposed and commenced projects shown below: Projects Proposed or Already Commenced 281 units — 625 S. Beacon St. 100 units — 921 S. Beacon, Harbor View House Adaptive Reuse Project 300 units — 505 S. Centre (old Court House property) 137 units — 511 S. Harbor Blvd. 80 rooms — 544 S. Pacific Ave., hotel 101 units — 2100 block S. Pacific Ave. 109 units — 1300 block S. Pacific Ave. 99 units — 444 5th St. 32 units — 336-350 7th St. 56 units — 420 9th St. 91 units — 456 W. 9th St. 19 houses — 847—879 10th St. 120 units — 120 N. Harbor Blvd. 63 units — 407 N. Harbor

Bld. 1,626 units — Rancho San Pedro redevelopment, between 1st & 3rd Sts. and Beacon & Mesa Sts. Recently Completed 24 units — 8th and Centre Sts. 375 units — 550 South Palos Verdes St.